

Comment of universe big bang

Some theories of astronomy today are based on fantasy, lack basic basis and go against common sense.

A. The academic world took the Big Bang as the first year of the universe, so what was the universe like before the first year? According to existing theories, all the stars of the universe are all the product of the big Bang, so before the big Bang, would the whole space be empty except for the universe to explode? How did the star of the big bang come from, what was the infinite time before, why not explode, and what caused the big explosion?

B. If all the stars in the real universe are the product of this explosive star, which is part of it before exploding, how huge the mass of this star will be. According to the explosion theory, the star is supposed to explode long ago, that is, the star is simply impossible to exist.

C. Just as liquids evaporate, the extension of individual stars is a very common and normal "diffusion phenomenon", which is not the basis for the "Big Bang universe expansion".

D. We have all heard the sound of explosions, which are violent, short-lived, and that it should also have the "Doppler effect," whose frequency should be related to the movement of the earth, and will inevitably disappear. The steady, orderly, unchanging, uninterrupted voice which the academic community heard now could not have been caused by the big Bang in the first year of the universe, and it is also incredible for the academic community to use the sound as the basis for the "Big Bang".

Therefore, the stars in the universe themselves are only natural, moving, and constantly changing. Through "gravity," there is mass accumulation, there is an explosion, but the present universe cannot be the product of a big bang.

The conjecture for the Big Bang is wrong.